

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

STRIKE 3 HOLDINGS, LLC,

Plaintiff(s),

v.

JOHN DOE SUBSCRIBER ASSIGNED IP
ADDRESS 98.167.68.73,

Defendant(s).

Case No.: 2:19-cv-01075-RFB-NJK

ORDER

[Docket No. 4]

15 Plaintiff alleges infringement of its copyrights to various pornographic material through
16 the BitTorrent protocol. *See* Docket No. 1. Pending before the Court is Plaintiff's *ex parte* motion
17 for leave to obtain discovery from an Internet Service Provider ("ISP") to ascertain Defendant's
18 identity. Docket No. 4. Plaintiff submits that it is suing Defendant for using the Internet to commit
19 direct copyright infringement and, since the alleged infringement occurred over the Internet,
20 Plaintiff knows the Defendant only by his or her Internet Protocol ("IP") address. *Id.* at 2. Plaintiff
21 therefore seeks an order allowing it to subpoena Defendant's ISP for his or her true name and
22 address. *Id.* at 3.

23 The Ninth Circuit has held that, where the identity of the defendant is unknown prior to the
24 filing of a complaint, the plaintiff should be given an opportunity through discovery to identify the
25 unknown defendant, unless it is clear that discovery would not uncover the identity of the
26 defendant, or that the complaint would be dismissed on other grounds. *See Wakefield v. Thompson*,
27 177 F.3d 1160, 1163 (9th Cir. 1999) (citing *Gillespie v. Civiletti*, 629 F.2d 637, 642 (9th Cir.
28 1980)).

1 These requirements are satisfied in this case¹ and, for good cause shown, Plaintiff's *ex*
2 *parte* motion for discovery is **GRANTED** as follows:

- 3 • Plaintiff may serve a Rule 45 subpoena upon the identified ISP, along with a copy of
4 this order.
- 5 • The subpoena will demand only the true name and physical address for the account
6 holder to whom the relevant IP address was assigned at the date(s) and time(s) that the
7 alleged infringement activity occurred.
- 8 • To the extent the ISP qualifies as a "cable operator," the provisions of 47 U.S.C. §
9 551(c)(2)(B) must be satisfied, including providing notice of this order.
- 10 • Plaintiff will use the information it obtains from the ISP in response to this subpoena
11 solely to prosecute the instant case.
- 12 • Once Plaintiff learns the identity of the subscriber(s), Plaintiff shall provide a copy of
13 this Order to that person or those persons when Plaintiff first makes contact with the
14 subscriber regarding this case. At that same time, Plaintiff shall also provide the
15 subscriber(s) a copy of the Ninth Circuit's opinion in *Cobbler Nevada, LLC v.*
16 *Gonzales*, 901 F.3d 1142 (9th Cir. 2018). Once both have been provided to the
17 subscriber(s), counsel for Plaintiff shall immediately file a declaration that confirms
18 these have been provided to the subscriber.

21 ¹ Pleading a defendant's "status as the registered subscriber of an infringing IP address,
22 standing alone, does not create a reasonable inference that he is also the infringer. Because
23 multiple devices and individuals may be able to connect via an IP address, simply identifying the
24 IP subscriber solves only part of the puzzle. A plaintiff must allege something more to create a
25 reasonable inference that a subscriber is also an infringer." *Cobbler Nevada, LLC v. Gonzales*,
26 901 F.3d 1142, 1144 (9th Cir. 2018). It is not clear whether Plaintiff will be able to meet this
27 pleading standard. It is also not clear that the instant discovery (limited to the name and physical
28 address of the registrant of the IP address) will meaningfully advance Plaintiff's ability to meet
29 this pleading standard. Nonetheless, the Court is aware of no case law preventing the discovery
30 of a doe defendant's identity based on the copyright holder's current inability to meet the *Cobbler*
31 pleading standard. Absent further guidance to the contrary, the Court will not preclude the
32 discovery sought through application of this pleading standard at this juncture. Nothing herein
33 should be construed as preventing the defendant from challenging the sufficiency of the pleadings
34 through a motion to dismiss or otherwise.

